01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
07	AT SEATTLE	
08	CURTIS S. THOMPSON,))
09	Plaintiff, v.) CASE NO. C05-2064-JLR-MAT
10	OFFICER BURACH, et al.,) ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S
11	Defendants.) MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT) COMPLAINT
12)
13	This is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes before the	
14	Court at the present time on plaintiff's motion for leave to supplement his complaint. The	
15	Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motion, and the balance of the record, does hereby ORDER	
16	as follows:	
17	(1) Plaintiff's motion for leave to supplement his complaint (Dkt. No. 157) is	
18	STRICKEN. Plaintiff, by way of the instant motion, seeks to add a new defendant to this	
19	action. Thus, plaintiff's motion is, effectively, one for leave to amend. Plaintiff has	
20	previously been advised that any request to amend must be accompanied by a proposed	
21	amended complaint and that any such motion not accompanied by a proposed amended	
22	complaint would be stricken. (See Dkt. Nos. 108 and 156.) Plaintiff may not circumvent the	
	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT COMPLAINT - 1	

requirement that he submit a proposed amended complaint simply by identifying his motion as something other than a motion to amend. 02 Plaintiff also requests appointment of counsel in the motion now pending before 03 (2) 04 the Court. Plaintiff has previously been advised that there is no right to have counsel 05 appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court 06 07 may do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 08 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation 09 of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his 10 claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. 11 12 Plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case involves exceptional circumstances which warrant the appointment of counsel and, thus, plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel is 13 DENIED. 14 15 (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable James L. Robart. 16 17 DATED this 31st day of January, 2011. 18 19 United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT COMPLAINT - 2